

Comments from November 2014 Survey of Mason Mill and Victoria Estates Neighborhoods

Respondents ranking "New City" as their first choice:

	Positives = Issues that would drive my support <i>toward</i> this vision. New City	Negatives = Issues that would drive my support <i>away from</i> this vision. New City
Comments about: New City	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • better road repair • Responsiveness to the need and interests of citizens of North DeKalb versus South DeKalb. Increased public safety coverage. • Better police response, more patrols. • I think our tax money would be better used than it is presently • Less corruption. Cops actually show up when called. • My only desire is to be part of whatever 'city' Emory University is located. I believe it will carry more clout and have a better impact on our property values. • better zoning, responsive services..emergency services • chance to start something fresh • I would like to live in a city that has more control over decisions regarding schools, police force and the way money is spent. • Better management of tax revenue to include maintaining roadways, sanitation and overall government services. • taxes generated are used for protection in our neighborhood • Road maintenance/repair on Mason Mill Rd. Park maintenance/upkeep. Increased Police presence in the neighborhood. • more reactive representation; more transparent budgeting (especially expenses) • Closer local representation and interest, maybe owning chickens would be legal. • more local control of gov't and services, accountability for use of funds and quality of services • We would have our own police • Lakeside/Briarcliff, including Vistavia Cir south of Mason Mill Rd, Lower taxes, better services • Responsible leaders • Better Zoning • Increased local governance/Separation from DeKalb County corruption • potential for establishing a functional responsible govt • smaller government & more accountability that could be verified more quickly; maybe better police support • If there is going to be a new city, would like to be a part of it. • Operating within a smaller entity than DeKalb, better services • Lower taxes, better infrastructure through more efficient use of current tax dollars • New City might provide better services and lower property taxes. What other cities have experienced. • Supposedly more efficient services and a more efficient and responsive government, not being left as an island between cities • I do not want to be "left out". Property values are at risk. • We need better qualified people to run government 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • cutting up my neighborhood • Changes in the schools supporting the neighborhood. Loss of parks and public recreational program access. Reduced public safety coverage. Reduced funding for Grady Hospital. Reduced support/funding for Thomson Park. Inclusion of only part of the Mason Mill Neighborhood. • Higher property taxes • None. • less security services, higher taxes, decline in education • cronyism for those who are the movers and shakers behind the cityhood movement • If the new city were responsible for sewers and maintenance I would be upset. • Increased property taxes, creating more layers of bureaucracy. • My neighborhood boundaries should not be split. The surrounding commercial property should be a part of my neighborhood and not cherry picked away. • additional bureaucracy with overlapping services and resulting higher costs (taxes) • Taxes would go up because of extra wages and facilities • Higher Taxes • Less security • Degradation of services/Overlapping or confusing boundaries for agencies, particularly law enforcement • if it becomes an isolated exclusionary organization • don't believe taxes & schools would remain same - but would be higher costs instead • Another layer of government adding to governing expense and bureaucracy. • None • Increase in taxes for no obvious gains • Another layer of government and the politics associated with it • Increased taxes, • Significant increase in taxes

Respondents ranking “New City” as their first choice:

	Positives = Issues that would drive my support <i>toward</i> this vision. Atlanta	Negatives = Issues that would drive my support <i>away from</i> this vision. Atlanta
Comments about: Atlanta	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • it depends on the new city map • Increased public safety coverage. Increased access to established parks and recreation programs. Expanded City Council to provide dedicated/unambiguous representation for the annexed area. Improvement in Atlanta City Schools test scores and reputation. • None. • Taxes would be better utilized. • Improve the schools. • a larger tax base... commercial income.... better emergency services... • something that is proven • There are none • None • I do not want to be a part of Atlanta --- PERIOD! • none • Owning chickens would be legal. • Improved schools potential, • Larger tax base, commercial income • none • taxes more likely to stay same • Formation of a new city without us leaving an island - would rather be part of a new city or ATL • None - I strongly do not want to be annexed to Atlanta • Be different and be damned! • None 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • higher fees • City Council representation that is simply an add on to existing districts. Reduced public safety coverage (closing of Emory Fire Station). Inclusion of only part of the Mason Mill neighborhood. • Higher taxes likely, general perception of corruption w/in Atlanta government, poor s • I would be concerned that taxes would increase if we were incorporated into Atlanta. Would our mailing address change to Atlanta or remain Decatur? • More corruption. Cops that don't show up when called. • higher taxes and poor education • corruption • Increased water and sewer bills and taxes • The City of Atlanta has had its own past corruption issues. Having been a resident of the City of Atlanta in the past, I see this as a poor option given the bureaucracy at the City. • Water bills are higher • Atlanta's services are terrible, Taxes are high, Water is high, Police are over worked, School system is an ongoing 6:00 news story. • no voice...lost in the vastness of the existing bureaucracy • We bought outside of the city for a reason. Don't believe taxes wouldn't eventually adjust. • no interest in joining City of Atlanta - I moved to unincorporated DeKalb to get out of Atlanta • High debt, requiring the homeowner to pay higher taxes • Water department and other departments are a mess. • Higher taxes , poorer education • Even less local voice in governance/Entanglement with all of Atlanta's corruption and mismanagement • Do not want to be part of all the problems the Atlanta has and will continue to have • taxes to be raised & fewer amenities with less money going to local government • Too many problems with City of Atlanta already - more than DeKalb County • School system debacle, ultimately higher taxes, being directed by Atlanta city hall, poorer services • Increased taxes

Respondents ranking "New City" as their first choice:

	Positives = Issues that would drive my support <i>toward</i> this vision. Remain Unincorporated	Negatives = Issues that would drive my support <i>away from</i> this vision. Remain Unincorporated
Comments about: Remain Unincorporated	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ok with fees • No change. • None. Status quo is sub-optimal and getting worse. • None. • none • local control • There are none. • Keeping the tax base the same. • things stay as they are in a good way. • the devil that I know • The evil we know- better than the ones we don't anticipate. They do pick up my trash and recycling and fix the road when it gets unbearable. • simplicity, low key • No changes to taxes • DeKalb does a good job of sanitation • Independent voice • It's the devil you know. • significant improvement in present county govt • If quality of life could be maintained & same tax level • DeKalb's progress toward fixing our problems • Not being part of Atlanta • If no new cities formed around us. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • not enough services • No change. Continued revelations of County Council and County Government corruption. Continued focus on South DeKalb to the detriment of North DeKalb issues and resource allocation. • Corruption, crime increasing, high property taxes, marginal schools. • None. • decreased emergency services... educational quality.... municipal services • corruption • No change in DeKalb County political corruption. • The continued corruption of county officials leading to lack of good management of county issues. • continue to pay for agencies that don't work in our neighborhood • things stay as they are... DeKalb is pretty messed up right now. • out of control property taxes • continued waste and dysfunction of current county gov't • Reduced services, Mason Mill would not be resurfaced anytime soon • Decreased emergency services , education quality, • If surrounding areas are incorporated as cities or annexed by Atlanta, our situation is further exacerbated. • If the present county govt remains as dysfunctional as it presently is • concern to be left out of the "land grab" with fewer amenities & governing control & accountability • DeKalb's lack of progress toward fixing our problems and formation of a new city or Atl annexation. • DeKalb corruption is the greatest • New cities forming all around us. • If new cities are forming, I do not want to be "left out".

Respondents ranking "New City" as their first choice: Other Comments

- I think the boundaries for the areas need to be carefully studied so that there are no isolated sections. Also, where would Toco Hills Shopping Center be included?
- Really need info on taxes and schools.
- Please fight Brookhaven's commercial property grab on our side of I-85; this commercial property should be a part of a new city, NOT Brookhaven.
- Our property is not included in the Briarcliff map, so my answers only apply to Lakeside as the option. We would rather stay unincorporated than join Briarcliff, but rather join Briarcliff than City of Atlanta. Hope that helps.
- Thanks for organizing the survey!
- This is the second time I've completed this survey.
- I'm not firm on anything. The meeting last week was an eye opener. DeKalb County is clearly not doing its job, but we do have some politicians who are aware & doing their best. It seems the general trend is localizing - & hopefully creating more accountability. I was VERY strongly against this, but am more open to seeing all sides now. I am now feeling more strongly against creating unincorporated "islands". I thought this issue was supposed to be tabled for 1-2 more years.
- It is difficult to make these choices without more information. We own 8 homes in the neighborhood. It seems as if the cityhood initiatives which were having meeting and meetings giving and gathering information have gone behind closed doors and will surprise us in November.
- Thank you for your conscientious consideration of the well-being of this neighborhood as you work on this.

Respondents ranking “Remain Unincorporated” as their first choice:

	Positives = Issues that would drive my support <i>toward</i> this vision. New City	Negatives = Issues that would drive my support <i>away from</i> this vision. New City
C o m m e n t s : N e w C i t y	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • no positive features find to support • lower taxes, better schools, fewer regulations • association with Emory • better schools or more control of schools • Better road repair • More localized, more quickly responsive -- better -- police services • Proof of improved quality of life for all including those annexed out of the new city • do not see any • If we were included in a city with Fernbank and Druid Hills, I would find it more appealing. • No positives • 100% municipalization of county and all GA counties with a services model based on economies of scale and fact-based business information. High quality of services at a tax cost lower than city of Atlanta • Lack of any progress in the county toward true internal audit and ethics reform. • I do not see any positives. • I just don't have a lot of trust in the motives behind the need for a new city • might improve services, but I am not convinced • Self-granted authority to create our own planning and zoning. Possibility (dream) of an efficient government • Streamlined budgets. Citizens being listened to about zoning. Knowing your leadership. • Concrete evidence that our tax dollars would be more efficiently spent and go further. • If we have to form a new city, I think Briarcliff or Briarcliff and Lakeside make the most sense for our neighborhood. • if competency existed in the choices; accessibility; city could provide a business type approach to providing services and contain costs; no tax increases • Not City of Atlanta • It would be better than being annexed by the city of Atlanta. • A more responsive, conservative, fiscally responsible and hopefully less corrupt government than DeKalb County. Better schools. • If there is a convincing argument that it will improve services and examples of how that will work; some type of guarantee that the taxes will not increase. • NO NEW TAXES • Can't think of any. • If the new city covered a sensible (more inclusive) map, and existing school programs were maintained (DSA, DHHS IB...) • Better representation • None • More police protection, renovation of neighborhood playground • It would not be called Atlanta • None • none • Nothing positive about becoming a city • none • Briarcliff cityhood folks more closely represent my vision for our neighborhood and 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • new authorities would drive us to taxes increase • higher taxes, more regulations • don't want anything to do with the Northlake area • new bureaucracy without added benefit; Cost of maintenance of that bureaucracy • We don't need another layer of governance and services. • Abandonment of the less privileged citizens of DeKalb County; leaving the County with huge pension obligations. • This will only further disenfranchise the lower socioeconomic areas of DeKalb County. It is driven by racism . And by the way, I am an affluent white. • Racism, classism, ageism, land grabbing, gerrymandering, etc. • Why get a new set of politicians and problems • who knows what we will get. could be worse than present. • I do not want to be in a Republican controlled City of Lakeside. • Increased taxes • I have always loved having an Atlanta address. I am worried that the Victoria Estates neighborhood would become a satellite neighborhood to an other-located city center (other than Atlanta). • A city formed based on "land grab" of commercial taxable properties without alignment to natural neighborhoods and affinities. Greater infrastructure (police, fire, etc.) that ultimately costs more due to lack of economies of scale. More government and government officials (layers of overhead and bureaucracy). • The continued nastiness of the debate. • Reports from other "new" cities about their problems, i.e. Dunwoody and Brookhaven • Taxes WOULD go up! Do not like the idea of bring in a new city. • We do not need any more Government that does not act for the people but for the Government! • Emory, Briarcliff, North Druid and Claremont to inside the perimeter and to the city of Decatur would be a good area to include as a City, but The idea of Tucker being part of a New City doesn't impress me. There isn't any evidence that it would be better- Can we believe that things would be better in a new city? • another level of government that will probably not really solve our problems; New city would tend to deprive the county of needed tax revenue; new city would divide county along economic and racial lines • The idea that the creation of additional organizational structures will do anything other than further complicate life in DeKalb County is wrong, in our opinion. • Government can't operate w/o taxes. A new city by necessity needs tax revenue. There is only one place to generate new tax revenue. The citizens of the area. • Unnecessary additional level of bureaucracy; and I don't believe taxes wouldn't increase eventually • too many governmental layers, taxes will go up, confusion & overlap of codes and services • I think all these movements are for the benefit of real estate developers • Another layer of bureaucracy with no guarantee that the benefits would outweigh the costs. • Initiatives that are blatant political power grabs or efforts to gerrymander a Republican-leaning entity.

- my political views.
- more local control
- none
- nothing
- Anything is preferable than city of Atlanta
- Political balance; boundary integrity; no segments of area left isolated.
- DeKalb government has proven to be inefficient, wasteful, and, in some case, dishonest.
- schools get more money and autonomy
- I don't really see many..perhaps a little more local control.
- Better representation, more transparency & honesty in gov't, quicker response times
- Lower taxes, increased security police fire response,
- Land planning, improved roads and sidewalks, better services
- Better control of resources, and more accountability
- Taxes would decrease significantly and services improve.
- If comprehensive planning produced rational city boundaries and did not leave "islands."
- The idea of control over services and planning and zoning (although this is hypothetical).
- ability to control smaller area by a smaller population
- Large area, as Briarcliff originally had
- None

- bickering; politics; incompetency; lack of leadership; wasting money; red tape; more incompetency on top of what we already have
- Possibility of new taxes
- Higher taxes.
- No guarantee that it will improve services or that it wouldn't increase costs;
- Double taxation (as Decatur residents now face), loss or confusion of services (911, trash pickup, roads).
- Have found that the de facto leaders of the Lakeside initiative were extremely weaselly about answering questions.
- another layer of Government
- New cities are a new version of White Flight! More levels of gov't...no benefit.
- The notion that no new taxes or fees would occur with a new city is not logical. Who would pay for the "new services" and fund duplicative services? The taxpayer, period. I will move out of DeKalb County if this occurs.
- Higher taxes
- An extra (wasteful) layer of government
- Why add another layer of bureaucracy? It's not needed.
- i don't like what seems like a modern version of "white flight" that will take tax \$\$ from south DeKalb
- Very pleased with current services. Selected this location because it is unincorporated. A new city will require infrastructure which drives up property taxes.
- Inexperienced government. Probably higher taxes
- another layer of government
- I am ADAMANTLY opposed to being part of Lakeside cityhood; I believe they are completely arrogant and attempting a blatant political power grab (wrapped up in high falutin' language).
- increase in taxes
- the reality is taxes can increase due to lack of economy of scale and inexperience in governing
- all services will suffer eventually higher taxes and service fees
- don't want more taxes and more government
- It would create more bureaucracy, more politicians and the ensuing expenses to run it.
- Another set of bureaucracies, more politicians and the ensuing expenses of running that.
- Unnecessary and duplicative. There is manifestly no need for another level of bureaucracy.
- Distorting of boundaries to serve narrow political/economic agenda that ignores/isolates selected areas.
- Cannot be sure what a new city would bring.
- Everything
- taxes, punitive oversight, ie: tree permits
- There will just be another layer with more politics, more taxes (eventually), and more conflict.
- Inevitably will require higher taxes from a smaller base
- Being a part of City of Atlanta, higher taxes, not having commercial tax base to support security
- Creating more silos. Lack of cohesion and communications plan. Parks and recreation. Transportation options. Education district.
- Not taking into account the rest of the county. Another layer of government. Added expense.

- | | |
|--|--|
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Duplication of government, increased taxes• Too many uncertainties• Taxes would increase significantly and services deteriorate.• It is hard to believe that the creation of a new level of government would not lead to higher taxes - also, services provided by the county right now are pretty good (water, sanitation, etc.) and current tax burden is relatively low• Very negative reactions to what Lakeside supporters have done up to this point and do not trust them. Likelihood of higher taxes.• It creates another layer of government in an already dysfunctional system. No matter how you present it, taxes will increase to cover municipal costs in the future. It is likely to harm other areas of the county.• Racial make-up of remainder of the county--too unbalanced and largely racially separate; another layer of government for our currently unincorporated area• Exclusion of "islands" instead of geographic continuity• Another layer of bureaucracy |
|--|--|

Respondents ranking “Remain Unincorporated” as their first choice:

	Positives = Issues that would drive my support <i>toward</i> this vision. Atlanta	Negatives = Issues that would drive my support <i>away from</i> this vision. Atlanta
C o m m e n t s a b o u t : A t l a n t a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • worldwide known city with deep roots in U.S. history • lower taxes, better schools, fewer regulations • could they improve schools • Neutral about this, but it is more appealing that a new city. • Atlanta's recent fiscal responsibility; respect for neighborhood zoning and land use, inclusion of Emory and CDC. • At least we would be part of a diverse community. • More localized, more quickly responsive -- better -- police services • Proof of improved quality of life for all including those annexed out of the new city • At least, we know what Atlanta is. It is not any better than what we have now in unincorporated DeKalb • If it were the only alternative to the City of Lakeside. • No positives • I would rather join Atlanta than be with the yahoos ruining DeKalb County • I much prefer living in "Atlanta" rather than another city within the perimeter. • If Emory goes into city of Atlanta, I'd prefer to stay with Emory due to close alignment and proximity. Additional economies of scale for the city, thus greater spreading of costs. I moved to "Atlanta"--not Lakeside or Briarcliff or ... • Lack of any progress in the county toward true internal audit and ethics reform. • None • The name Atlanta, Emory, CDC and a few of the schools. • would be a small step toward metropolitan political unity • NONE • None • Concrete evidence that our tax dollars would be more efficiently spent and go further. • none • None • I can think of no positive reason to be associated with the city of Atlanta. • The system of neighborhood planning units as opposed to dealing directly with the City of Atlanta. • I need someone to articulate what the benefits would be to this option; seems it would have the same issues expressed about being part of DeKalb • NONE • Absolutely none. • Might prefer to be included with Emory/Druid Hills if that annexation occurs. • Mayor might not be as corrupt as DeKalb CEO • None • More police protection, renovation of neighborhood playground • Absolutely NONE. • none • Nothing positive about becoming Atlanta. • none • Nothing positive about being part of Atlanta's myriad problems! However, Councilman Alex Wan was VERY impressive at our meeting. • none 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • too many city authorities, low level of order & organization • higher taxes, more regulations • tax increase • corrupt maladaptive bureaucracy, higher taxes and fees • Sad state, generally, of Atlanta Public School system • I want to stay in unincorporated DeKalb. • Racism, classism, ageism, land grabbing, gerrymandering, etc. (Are city of ATL schools even worse than DeKalb?) • If we had an opportunity to remain unincorporated. • Increased taxes • Can't imagine. • I have always loved having an Atlanta address. I am worried that the Victoria Estates neighborhood would become a satellite neighborhood to an other-located city center (other than Atlanta). • Higher taxes. I lived in Morningside in city of Atlanta, DeKalb County and taxes were higher due to that combination. Election sites were confusing. • Disruption of schools. • I don't really know what changes would come from being in Atlanta, makes it difficult to decide • I do not want to become a part of the big city. I chose this neighborhood to get away from the city! • taxes being too high • might make delivery of services confusing • I have lived within the city limits of Atlanta and was continually disappointed in its governance structure and policies. • proven bureaucratic bungling. It's proven everyday. Horrible services. Disastrous school system. • Unnecessary additional levels of bureaucracy; city has much indebtedness; increased taxes; have lived in city of Atlanta and DeKalb County and it was AWFUL (bureaucracy/taxes) • not good situation where overlap of county & Atlanta already exist...conflicting codes, too many layers of government so that clears paths to approvals do not exist • I think will eventually result in higher taxes • Too big. Act as if they are accountable to no one, i.e., airport concessions. Rampant corruption. Not fiscally responsible. • FRANKLY, I CANNOT THINK OF ANY WAY I WOULD WANT TO BE INCORPORATED INTO ATLANTA. Taxes are sure to go up;services not to improve. • Taxes and am not sure Atlanta would be good for our neighborhood. • high taxes, corruption, this is a government that has a history of problems--not interested in inheriting it • Possibility of new taxes • I do not want to become a part of the city of Atlanta because of the politics, higher taxes, less control by the citizens under any circumstances. • Higher taxes. Still having the same services provided by DeKalb County. • The school issue; although the hypothetical questions on previous pages said assuming schools don't change, but I can't see how they wouldn't. If there turned out to be benefits to joining Atlanta, there would have to be a concrete plan in place

- same as new city
- nothing
- Absolutely NONE.
- Better than a bad new city or isolation
- Can't think of any.
- None
- None at this time
- none
- None
- City is in bad economical shape. Don't see any benefit
- Taxes and fees would not increase and current service levels would not change.
- If comprehensive planning produced rational city boundaries and did not leave "islands."
- None
- None

- about the schools before I could make a decision.
- Exchanging one incompetent, corrupt government for another.; more taxes.
- Concerns about school district changes, tax implications
- They have soooo many issues don't really want to exchange DeKalb politics for Atl politics
- I see no benefit.
- CLEARLY, taxes and fees would increase. There are already multiple 'subsides' by certain neighborhoods that would only expand to our sector of DeKalb County. I am COMPLETELY against this option.
- Higher taxes
- Inefficient government, poor image
- TAXES.
- Atlanta City is corrupt. Why on earth would we want to join?
- Very pleased with current services. Selected this location because it is unincorporated. Becoming a part of ATL will most certainly drive up property taxes.
- Higher taxes
- another layer of problems
- Atlanta has SO many infrastructure problems, and our water/sewer/trash rates would significantly increase.
- increase in taxes
- taxes will increase on most homes regardless of the intro to the survey
- same ad new city if not worst look at Atlanta city history
- I moved to my address so I would never be in city of Atlanta. bad taxes & service.
- Disappointment in the direction Atlanta has gone in the last 20-30 years.
- Disappointment in the direction Atlanta has gone in the last 20 years.
- Worst run and certainly one of the most corrupt cities in the United States.
- Atlanta not doing all that well, either.
- The officials of Atlanta have been wasteful and unscrupulous in too many instances
- Everything. I do not want to live in that city.
- Despite what they say, being in the City of Atlanta costs more. The taxes are definitely higher and the services worse.
- Atlanta is too big to care at all about our neighborhood. Taxes would go up.
- Atlanta cannot govern itself adequately, or pay for necessary services and infrastructure, at this point.
- crime, less security, higher taxes, supporting things we will never use such as stadiums, etc
- Taxes and too political. Lack of diverse representatives
- Atlanta roads are worse than DeKalb. Being on the fringe to the city. Taxes will go up. Representation will not be there.
- Increased taxes, with no positive outlook of better services
- Higher taxes, poor city management and services
- Taxes and fees would increase and current service levels would deteriorate.
- services are not as good and are more costly
- Likelihood of higher taxes.
- Our taxes will be higher, there is great potential of change to our local schools; how is this any different from being part of a large county...now the city and the county? Again, too many layers of government.
- No interest in being part of the Fulton County and city of Atlanta systems
- Taxes would have to increase to support Atlanta's problems
- Another layer of bureaucracy

Respondents ranking “Remain Unincorporated” as their first choice:

	Positives = Issues that would drive my support <i>toward</i> this vision. Remain Unincorporated	Negatives = Issues that would drive my support <i>away from</i> this vision. Remain Unincorporated
C o m m e n t s : R e m a i n U n i n c o r p o r a t e d	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the preferable: keep address "Atlanta" and have nothing to do with city turmoils • status quo is okay • services are fine for what they cost • The county is already doing a fine job for the most part. • Responsibility to other citizens of the unincorporated parts of DeKalb; the pension issue. • Our tax base supports the areas of the county in greater need. I want to belong to diverse community. • I have seen no convincing evidence that incorporation would improve anything • I have not had any problems with this way fix what not broken • I am very satisfied with the services that I receive from DeKalb County • Continued service by DeKalb police and other services • Lower taxes, increased land value • I prefer living in "Atlanta" unincorporated. • Getting the county "reengineered" from a governance and fiscal operations perspective (get rid of the rampant corruption); take advantage of the economies of scale; not having to pay for extra layers of government • Progress toward establishing an independent internal audit function & ethics reform. • I like what I now have and would like to retain the status-quo. • Would prefer to remain unincorporated unless doing so puts us on an island. If better to be part of a city, would have to decide which one at that time. • This neighborhood has been functioning admirably all on its own for many years. • We should stay as we are until a strong enough ,believable argument can be made as how change would benefit the taxpayer • would be the least confusing in terms of knowing where services come from; would continue economy of scale in county services • There are a number of good leaders in DeKalb County who are working toward resolving many of the problems in the county government and they should have an opportunity to see their efforts come to fruition. • ALL current services provided by county are working (well, maybe not the schools). They may not be working well, but I don't see any advantages to change. Why not work toward improving those services? Within the current system. • less bureaucracy; lower taxes • things are working well now • Less bureaucracy. No additional rules, regs, taxes, personnel. • My support is already here. • Like the way things are but may not like it if things change. • issues are known; only one entity to deal with; there are some signs that DeKalb Cty is making some positive changes; • No new taxes. • I am content with things as they are. • Same services I currently have. Lower taxes. Not having my taxes go toward supporting South DeKalb. • I have no major issues with DeKalb that I feel could be solved in joining a city. • SAME TAXES • County services seem fine as they are. • Concerns about the impact of a new city on the remaining residents of DeKalb 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • do not support • tax increase, less police protection • need better schools • Roads. • Lack of sufficient immediately responsive police services • Don't want to be an island • Possibly better attention to roads. • Increased taxes • continued corruption in the county; failure of county governance; lack of county internal audit and robust ethics and compliance functions • Lack of any progress in the county toward true internal audit and ethics reform. • We're great just the way we are...we don't need any interferences. • poorer service and higher taxes • the possibility that county might not solve its governance problems • In future years, surrounded by any number of "new cities". • county mismanagement and corruption • I like things as they are • DeKalb county leadership corruption. Zoning is ruining the quality of life in the county. Schools. • Make me a better offer - something that is manifestly better organized, more cost-effective, more efficient. • we are stuck with DeKalb govt regardless of the choice • Same services I currently have. • If it is inevitable we will be eventually added to a city, if remaining unincorporated now will prevent us from having a voice later on. • We don't need another layer of government! • Corruption, inefficiency, etc - it's hard to be impressed with the current state of DeKalb county government. • If school system got involved in the decision • this would add another layer of politics, with unknown players , it would take away form county revenues for needed services` • None • If there are lots of cities DeKalb county may not have enough money to provide basic services like garbage pick-up or good police protection • none that I know of • Nothing negative. Very pleased with current services. • unknown problems • Our section of Vistavia (south of Mason Mill) being isolated from any new city; fears this would negatively affect police/fire responses and other services like water/sewer/trash. • possibility of island status • none • n/a • None. That is why we chose to invest here. • If neighborhood were arbitrarily isolated by new city boundaries. • We can get better representatives who truly want to better our community. • none

- We are doing just fine. Police respond in a timely manner, roads mostly get maintained, trash and recycling get picked up in a timely manner
- I do not see any advantage to adding another city
- 35 years ago I picked UNincorporated DeKalb as the place I wanted to live. I only want one layer of local gov't!
- No new taxes and fees. Every study over time regarding new cities/annexation factually and objectively proves that costs increase with these moves. We have the best deal as we are in an unincorporated structure.
- Taxes would hopefully remain the same
- Good services. My address would be called "Decatur"
- I think things function reasonably well right now.
- People seek out this neighborhood BECAUSE it is unincorporated, it is a selling feature.
- We're perfectly happy with the service we receive and our low taxes!!
- known problems
- Even with the DeKalb commission and CEO and schools problems, I've been happy to be in unincorporated DeKalb. It's one of the reasons we bought in Mason Mill area 22 years ago.
- satisfied w services, better sanitation than any neighbors
- no change no third layer of govt sucking resources
- This is why I moved to my current address.
- I believe we have a working solution and see no advantages in changing it.
- I believe we have a working solution now and see no advantage in changing it.
- Low taxes and municipal government infrastructure that is at least equal to that in any incorporated area.
- we do not need another layer of government
- Just leave us alone!
- Happy enough where I am
- We know what we have now.
- I get all the government I want and need. Nothing will change my mind about wanting to remain unincorporated.
- Present services are good. DeKalb county makes building permits, etc. very easy compared to other municipalities.
- DeKalb is really doing pretty well by us right now. Police, garbage/recycle, is good.
- Existing structure, which sometimes functions efficiently; broader tax base.
- don't want change, just want government to get its act together and punish corruption of CEO CFO COO etc
- I like Victoria Estates as it has been all of the 47 years I have owned my home here.
- Unknown
- Implementation of Blueprint DeKalb proposals
- Economies of scale
- Preserving the status quo
- No change in the rate of taxes or fees; current service levels would be unchanged.
- see notes for concerns with new city -
- One less layer of government should be more efficient.
- Currently we are satisfied with our services in regards to police, fire, sanitation and do not see the need for creating a city.
- Like the current single layer governmental structure and racial diversity
- Keep DeKalb as a whole
- I am happy w/ current county services and taxes

- would require cleaning up present inefficient, corrupt areas of county gov't, and CEO structure replacement.
- Several more years with unresolved efforts to reform the county government
- Corruption
- Taxes and fees will increase dramatically and current service levels will deteriorate.1
- agree there is risk to remaining unincorporated if there is significant change to the county
- If my area were an "island" making services inefficient.
- As each of these cities form within the county it takes away resources from the unincorporated areas and it seems worse to be the "one island left behind".
- Pushes to create cities which may not have the boundaries we prefer.
- If other nearby areas incorporate, I would want to be included
- None

Respondents ranking “Remain Unincorporated” as their first choice: Other Comments

- New city would demand additional authorities created that may bring to the confusion for unknown period of time. The latter would unavoidably lead to taxes increase to cover "new city hall" expenses.
- Push to be part of a new city doesn't appeal to me. I think Atlanta would mean higher taxes and more regulations. Unincorporated has been working fine.
- Stay unincorporated. Make our schools better. Go back to the charter school cluster.
- A new city would require an enormous amount of effort and expense in order to set up services and administrative/governmental structures. The groups that promote this effort would most likely gravitate toward running the new city and establishing policy. There is nothing we have read or heard that would indicate that this new setup would be any better than what already exists, and seems to be driven largely by a small group of disgruntled residents targeting specific issues that don't affect us.
- I do not want to be part of the Republican controlled City of Lakeside under any circumstances.
- What about the areas located in and around the Emory Campus be named City of University Park or just University Park (City)???????
- Thanks for the survey and opportunity for input. I'd like to see a specific cost study done on economies of scale and true "total cost of cityhood" with real numbers and clearly articulated assumptions (and cost drivers) set forth for public scrutiny prior to any legislation or cityhood vote. Show me the numbers as I believe it is entirely unbelievable that long-term costs would stay flat or decline--assuming county corruption is mitigated. We must fix the county governance/corruption issues first as we don't know true sustainable costs. Look at the township model and multiple cities in the northeast--the costs (and taxes) are significantly higher. You cannot add mayors and police chiefs and fire chiefs and not have total cost of operations (in aggregate with cities and county governments) increase. Ultimately, it takes away the economies of scale that the county does have. It just doesn't make business sense.
- Just thank you for this opportunity.
- Did not appreciate all the hypotheticals. A more credible and simpler survey would have been much more succinct and helpful.
- Don't paint such a broad stroke of inviting to large of an area to participate in a new City. Lakeside is not a good name for a city; it's a good name for people who reside by a lake. Explain and show how individuals would benefit from a new city. Become creative and select a name for the city that Emory would like e.g. Emory as in Emory, GA
- I am greatly concerned that the cityhood movement is a way of saying we do not want to share services with people who are not as economically well off and have different ideas of government. There are some great uncertainties here. Can the county get its act together and provide services efficiently and without further suspicion of corruption? Would a new city just produce new and similar problems with a group of inexperienced officials?
- As I said somewhere above, I have in the past lived in an area (Candler Park) that was both in DeKalb County and City of Atlanta. It was a nightmare in terms of bureaucracy about all decisions (especially permitting) and it was also a nightmare in terms of taxes. Anyone who has lived in the overlap would never want to live in the overlap again!
- Worried that the new city would not have large enough tax base to support it. Also, do not want to be in no man's land. I really do not know what the right decision would be but fear I might once a decision is made.
- I do not believe that it is fair to require an area to join a city group. Historically and statistically, government entities do not have a good track record in managing effectively. While there are a few exceptions, the track record for the overwhelming majority prove otherwise. Why risk adding another layer of bureaucracy and taxes onto what already does not work well?
- Thank you for providing a way for us to voice our opinion. Again, my preference is to remain unincorporated DeKalb which is only slightly ahead of the "New City" option. Being part of the City of Atlanta is definitely at the bottom of the list. It's hard for me to gauge my opinion about a "New City" when I don't know what advantages or disadvantages they bring to the table.
- If there is a new cityhood movement, I think it's important that the boundaries make sense and that they aren't made just for financial reasons (I preferred Briarcliff over Lakeside for that reason). I also think the cityhood movements should be unified and not be adding new cities in a piecemeal manner and that we have a say in it. I also think that if our neighborhood is included in a new city, all of it should be included, and not some of the craziness proposed in the last round. In terms of Atlanta, as the parent of three young children, two of which are already in DeKalb County schools, I would be very upset if we were forced to switch to Atlanta City schools. While neither system is particularly great, we are already in a school choice program in DeKalb that is not our home school. I would hate to be forced to leave the system not on my own accord. Also, future opportunities (magnet and other school choice programs) are convenient to our commute to Chamblee whereas any APS options would be the opposite direction.
- Living in DeKalb continues to grow more expensive, while quality of life continues to decrease (noise, crime [our house has been broken into, three times], traffic, over-development). My wife and I are retired and are seriously looking for a place to relocate. Further deterioration in the situation here, such as annexation, will likely be the reason we need to finally pack up and move out. If you want to reach me to discuss any of this, my cell phone number is 404-693-4159. Bruce A. Jones
- Don't understand how Emory being part of anything would change anything for neighbors??? There doesn't seem to be any real benefits of joining any new or existing city. All seems to be political and thinly veiled racism against South DeKalb.
- in spite of the evident dysfunction of DeKalb County government, I do not think that adding another city or cities to the mix would be of benefit to the populace. I would much prefer to see some resolution to the pervasive corruption that seems to be evident on many fronts, with an end to cronyism. We need positive voices from South De Kalb to enter the mix with the exclusivist voices from the new cities and other more "northern" segments of the county. We need to move beyond the mindset that considers that a county can function without any source of revenue.
- The few people who want to live in a city should pick one and move there! Cityhood will not solve DeKalb's problems and residents of "new cities" will not leave DeKalb! Fix DeKalb!

- PLEASE DO NOT LET THIS EFFORT CONTINUE!!!! This is only and all about political motives for new revenue (taxes/fees) sourcing. I already pay \$6,000 annually in taxes and fees in unincorporated DeKalb and I sincerely cannot continue to afford to live in this County should these taxes and fees which obviously would go up with new services occur. I am 1,000% against this.
- They have said that our taxes would not increase. Are they talking about for the first year only? What about the next years and so on. I know when you start paying both city and county taxes it really adds up to a lot more money.
- I sold my home in the city of Decatur due to high property taxes and purchased my current home in Mason Mill due to it being in unincorporated DeKalb. I consider this a selling feature.
- Thanks to all who put time and effort into this project
- 1) I get really tired of Emory being the filter for cityhood and other neighborhood issues. They're the 900lb gorilla here and their building binges have destroyed many old-growth trees in our area. Note the clear-cut of the old Emory Inn property. While they may add trees elsewhere on campus and tout how green they are, this new development on Clifton will only increase the gridlock that continues to grow. They tout "live/work/play", but Clifton is totally gridlocked at rush hour....and check out Mason Mill and Houston Mill at rush hour - Emory and CDC folk cut-throughs are awful! 2) My #2 ranking only applies to Briarcliff - not Lakeside. I strongly believe the initial cityhood movement is nothing more than a political power grab by conservative Republicans to make Democratic DeKalb more red. I am offended that it's been wrapped up in "local control over our destiny" arguments. And as a 66 year old white woman who worked in the Civil Rights movement I feel the Lakeside folks are borderline racist (I don't say this lightly) in their power grab. 3) If cityhood is inevitable as Mary Margaret Oliver and others have indicated, I would support the more progressive Briarcliff cityhood. But I think the political and philosophical differences between the Briarcliff and Lakeside folks are too strong to come to any compromise. And I don't trust our illustrious Legislators to make cityhood decisions for us. So cityhood for me is a lose/lose situation. I'm pretty despairing about cityhood (unless we get absorbed by City of Decatur, which I feel would be a good move). 4) While I was very impressed with Alex Wan and he'd be a great representative for us, I think Atlanta's infrastructure problems are too numerous and I would not want to be part of those problems.
- The premise that nothing would change with schools is erroneous, I am especially concerned w middle school assignment of students, the city of Atl has spent a fortune on new and improvements to schools on the north side and I doubt they will be willing to spend much money on new east side neighborhoods while investment in buildings is perceived to be lagging in other sections of the city (I do not have children in school)
- Don't change my address!!!
- Stop planning. Clearly they aren't capable.
- The forced rankings part of this survey, does not work. The numbers will not change. I rank New City as #1, Remain Unincorporated #2, and Atlanta #3.
- If Emory is annexed, I understand we go too. The likelihood that I would move would be increased if we were annexed. I might stay for a while in a new city to see how it worked out, but I probably would start shopping for a new home pretty quickly if annexation took hold.
- Think before you leap. We actually have it pretty good right now. Any new city would bring new politics, new conflicts, and eventually new taxes. Regardless of the plan, we are destined to be "on the edge" of any new city or city affiliation, which means we'll be an afterthought. We should try to improve DeKalb County.
- I am very unsure about my preferences in such a hypothetical survey. I wish this much effort would be put into reforming and improving county government and services.
- Don't be blind to the fact that in fact, when surveyed, Brookhaven property taxes did go up! I don't want to pay for goods and services we don't or will never use. I do want businesses, schools, corporations, etc to pay their fair share of taxes.
- All change is not good. Let's be unique and stay the same as we have been. Thank you!!
- Thank you!
- I believe that what is needed is to support the efforts of DeKalb blueprint to create a strong and reliable auditing for DeKalb government and use of resources
- I prefer consolidating governments rather than multiplying them. I support renovating the whole of DeKalb County and bringing back honesty, efficiency, and civic pride to the county. No matter where we live we should pull together to make DeKalb the best place in metro Atlanta to live with the best school system, as it once was. And we citizens should pay attention to elected and appointed officials. We should choose people with integrity, not hire an "integrity officer."
- I think all of DeKalb should be considered at once if new cities are to be formed. More important is cleaning up the County Government, as suggested by Blueprint for DeKalb.

Respondents ranking “Atlanta” as their first choice:

	Positives = Issues that would drive my support <i>toward</i> this vision. New City	Negatives = Issues that would drive my support <i>away from</i> this vision. New City
Comments about: New City	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Local planning • Briarcliff • none • Atlanta is established but has problems. Nothing good about new city designed to stroke ego of certain politicians. • Decision to leave no islands • Opportunity for better Police and services and a more responsive government • None • No longer have the crooked political leadership of DeKalb County • The Briarcliff initiative interests me as city boundaries encompass diverse communities and existing leadership doesn't seem to have a political agenda. • Clarity, and an end to the state of "suspended animation" • sense of community • A more sane, fair approach that is driven by an organic movement of residents. • all parties are winners, DeKalb is not left behind • Favor this only if not being in "new city" would cause VE to be an unincorporated island. • Better policing, better roads • new fresh government, possibly better ideas - closer representation. Possibility of better blighted property codes • Better services • Connection to CDC and Emory: Would assure some kind of public transportation. • better schools, better park maintenance • At least it's not DeKalb 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Higher taxes & fees • Lakeside • limited services and access to future services ATL will develop. • Ego project for Fran Millar, drop in public safety response, high taxes down the road, nothing in common with most of the areas in cities previously discussed. We have more in common with Emory area and Druid Hills than Lakeside, Tucker, etc. • If no other changes, remain unincorporated • I think the driving force behind the proposed city of Lakeside is purely political and is based on the (unspoken) goal of a new and separate school district. • Lack of trust in current and future leadership • Content of the new city and lack of experience/expertise on the part of those proposing the city. • I don't believe we're ready for this. Not enough prep. • Another layer of government is not needed and undesirable. Don't trust people pushing for cityhood/power • Could have other crooks in New City • Unknowns, including the true cost and efficiency of providing government services, the competency of leadership and Emory University's resistance to being part of any new city. • Higher taxes & fees • Lack of transparency • negative effect on rest of county; more bureaucracy • Too many uncertainties- decisions would be made by people we don't know • I don't like the current composition of the group pushing for cityhood. I also have a dim view of the current methods by which cities may be formed. • economic segregation • Don't think it's necessary. The whole New City movement smacks of "white flight." • Lack of confidence in brand new municipal infrastructure. I'm not unhappy with most DeKalb services, so see no need to create a new city. I'm also concerned with what looks like legislatively approved segregation/unfair line-drawing. • I think the Balkanization of the Atlanta area only weakens the entire region - wasting of time & resources • Lack of any change in schools • uncertainty of quality of newly formed services. Negative Emory University support. DeKalb Schools not passing DHCC • Racially tinged motives for forming new city • doubt that services will improve. • lack of effective management • No change from DeKalb schools

Respondents ranking "Atlanta" as their first choice:

	Positives = Issues that would drive my support <i>toward</i> this vision. Atlanta	Negatives = Issues that would drive my support <i>away from</i> this vision. Atlanta
Comments about: Atlanta	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Address • additional access to services and future services • Established, Known, • Do not very much like a separate Briarcliff so prefer Atlanta and feeling that either Atlanta or Briarcliff are the two realistic options • Joining an established and successful entity would be preferable to involuntary or not inclusion in a "new" city. Also, we are oriented toward Emory and Atlanta not toward a new city to the north. • Strong NPU system overseeing development • If it were the least worst alternative • We know this govt. It Emory goes w/ATL - so should we • Atlanta is "on the rise". Atlanta government is well established and relatively functional. Like multicultural aspects or ATL • Reasonable current Mayor leading larger city with larger/functional infrastructure • Established city that currently has a competent mayor and mostly working infrastructure. • Like "Atlanta" as an address. • Affinity with Emory • Known entity, interest in my community • Known factor with established identity • Atlanta is well established and would not raise taxes for low-income people • Stronger school system. • Personal Identity- I live in Atlanta • We may have more attention in general • Join the big boys. I suspect that Emory is leaning this way. If that is so, I am all for it. I would hope that the chances of getting funding for rail transit would increase. • Established city, known entity (even if imperfect). A school system that has a demonstrated record of openness to innovation. • Established government entity with national recognition • It's quite likely that APS would eventually take over the schools, which is positive. Atlanta also has a much stronger police force per capita • Increased transportation funding for Clifton corridor. Druid Hills Charter Cluster possibility of approval from APS. Emory University support for Atlanta Annexation • Synergy • If Fernbank and Briar Vista annex, the remaining feeder schools to DHMS and DHHS will have very different demographics and property values will be impacted. • better schools, better park maintenance • Change away from DeKalb schools 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Higher taxes & fees • change in schools • Questions about water service, worries that we would get ignored, high taxes • none • Concern about what happens to students in special DeKalb schools - magnet programs, special ed, etc. • I am unimpressed with how Atlanta manages and plans. It is almost as bad as DeKalb county • It's already big • None • Our distal neighborhood could be overlooked/marginalized by larger city • Higher taxes, unclear as to whether our neighborhood at the geographic edge of the city's boundaries would be overlooked. • Taxes & Fees • Uncertain about what this would consist in • Possible corrupt activity, not to become a part of atl watershed only DeKalb • Possible adverse effect on taxes • School need improvement • Taxes may go up • Higher taxes & fees for services that are being delivered well and for a good value by DeKalb County. • Higher taxes / corrupt officials • DeKalb retaining school control • ANY possibility that we ever be annexed into Atlanta Water & Sewer • Change is always hard • As stated on prior official tax form for annexed portion, my taxes would be more than \$1,000.00 more. • much higher taxes • Unknowns about new school arrangement details

Respondents ranking “Atlanta” as their first choice:

	Positives = Issues that would drive my support <i>toward</i> this vision. Remain Unincorporated	Negatives = Issues that would drive my support <i>away from</i> this vision. Remain Unincorporated
Comments about: Remain Unincorporated	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Status quo • We currently attend a DeKalb charter school. If cityhood would render my children ineligible for their current school, I'd want to remain unincorporated. • less escalation in taxes? • Stability, good fire and police response. • Have no current problems with status quo • I am pretty satisfied with the way things are now. • Life is not bad now and would likely float along about as it is. It is embarrassing to have the government we do and it seems possible that security and services will decay while taxes increase. • No change • County services are working relatively well. Governance is multiracial and relatively functional. • Keep status quo. • Keep status quo even if county has shown itself to be dysfunctional. • Don't fix what's not broken; clean up DeKalb with Internal Auditor! • Better for overall county; possible lower taxes • Things are going quite well now- This would be a good choice if we can't be a part of Atlanta • I prefer to concentrate citizen attention on reforming county government. This needs to be given a chance. • Independence • Lower taxes, inclusion in a diverse county population. • None • Potentially lower taxes • keeping the same level of services that we currently have • Things aren't that bad locally even if the county government is an ethical and competency nightmare. • N/A • nothing • none 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Current governmental administration • less services and diminished access to ATL services • VERY BAD ROADS, lack of service, turmoil at top in county government, we would remain vulnerable to shaky plans for new cities. • Other new cities forming leaving us isolated • Becoming isolated between cities • If DeKalb continued to have corruption and inept management • No change • None • Could have reduced civic services • history of corruption and dysfunction. • DeKalb County's leadership issues • Politics of DeKalb appear entrenched to the point of avoiding clear reason (e.g., Internal Auditor) • Creation of islands of unincorporated areas; adverse effect on services if this happens • Questionable services • May be a little left out in care. • Being an "island" not well served by the existing county infrastructure. • waste of time and resources - fighting with other entities - • Poorer schools, poorer policing, poor roads • DeKalb County Schools refusal to pass DHCC • Present DeKalb govt. • being so close to Toco Hills and with new cities wanting the tax revenue from that shopping area, we will not remain unincorporated for long. Best for us to pick a side before one is chosen for us. • weak schools, terrible park maintenance, neglect of our streets, schools and neighborhoods in general • Lousy schools, venality, ineptitude

Respondents ranking “Atlanta” as their first choice: Other Comments

- Do not understand all the moving parts. Do believe ATL will prosper faster than an "island" whether it is incorporated or unincorporated.
- I am tired of us being political pawns for potential cities where share NOTHING in common with the rest of the city. Last Spring's Lakeside fiasco at the General Assembly was terrible. We were nothing more than a political chess piece for competing political egos. Our neighborhood would have been split in half. We need to remain one solid area, and remain as a part of the Emory/Druid Hills area. The ego-driven politicians and would be city council members for a new city care only about getting the tax revenue from Toco Hills and not about the best interests of the residents. That has to change.
- Thanks for your efforts and this thoughtful set of questions
- I have heard no credible reason for forming a new city expressed. I have deep suspicions that the push for cityhood includes racial motivations which I find distasteful. I worry that if I was included in a new city I would be dealing with an amateurish government that may not be able to provide the services it is responsible for. I feel that I have nothing politically in common with the people clamoring for cityhood.
- Thanks for taking the time to get our voices into the mix!
- This was not an unbiased instrument It leaned on Emory's sway
- City of Lakeside proposal has been mean spirited and has sought to keep its intentions secretive.
- Most of us in Victoria Estates are related to Emory- this University and its many cultural opportunities are why we here.
- I am against divisions within Atlanta. I think that it is more important to have officials who are open minded and want what is best for the city as a whole.
- We have 3 kids who are students at Briar Vista Elementary (BVE). BVE is on the upswing and we are so proud to be there, where they are receiving a well-rounded education with students from around the world. I view all cityhood initiatives through the lens of wanting BVE and its diverse attendance zone to remain intact.
- It would be great if our area had the opportunity to join the city of Atlanta, which seems to offer more strengths than a new city or remaining unincorporated.
- I feel that if our neighborhood doesn't ask to be annexed into Atlanta (with Druid Hills/Emory) then we will definitely get annexed into the New City as not to be left as part of an island, and Atlanta annexation will no longer be an option. Also, I think it would be best to possibly have more transportation funding options via City of Atlanta (as is Emory's position) for the Clifton Corridor transportation extension. Basically, we are an Emory neighborhood and should stay attached, for lack of better terminology, to Emory. Emory lies within the Druid Hills boundary and our interests, therefore, should lie there as well.
- This appears to be a second survey. True? I've filled out two, anyway.
- I think that stipulating schools would remain unchanged before choosing is bound to cause false results because the schools will only change with the City of Atlanta option. Wonder why you took the school issue out of the picture?